Banner 8 Technical Meeting – February 2, 2010

Attendees: Alex Garcia, Mrinal Virnave, Jesus Hernandez, Bruce Schaa, Kim Montoya, Pam Jeffries, Liz Archer, Linda Moreno, Scott Archer, Crystal Wang, Cecil Ayers, Olga Conter, Paul Berver, Kit Marlow, Grace La Torra, Jack Briggs, Art Trujillo, John Roberts, Mark Hammersmith, Dudley Moore, Lynne Ray, and Laura Ramirez

• Clone of DBN8/UBN8 – Mrinal

- Clients want newer or fresher data
- o UBN8 seems to be the most likely candidate
- o It was decided that UBN8 will be refreshed for the Clients after Feb. 12th
- Q: (John) Will the Clients be confident to be done testing by the time we do the refresh? A: (Mrinal) Processing not working are the issues we are having now. If they have big issues or Show Stoppers before Feb. 12th, Clients may decide not to do the refresh. We should resolve the technical issues now like TRNI, etc.
- O Q: (Crystal) The refresh is coming from PBAN? Then we need to preserve Workflow schema in UBN8. Otherwise Matyas will need to reinstall
- O Q: (Pam) Can we upgrade Workflow, etc. in PBN8? A: (Mrinal) Yes, but we need to keep doing it all over again as it goes away with each refresh
- O Q: (Art) Are the issues going to be resolved by Feb. 12th or are we going to give them more time? A: (Mrinal) We will wait on the refresh until technical issues are resolved. Functional issues will give the green flag to do the refresh
- O Q: (John) Why aren't we doing anything to DBN8? A: (Mrinal) Because DBN8 is connected to Appworx and UBN8 needs to be refreshed

• Oracle Reports – Alex/Lynne

- Still not working per Kathy's testing
- Lynne was able to run SAAETBL report
- o Issues are that one report may have an empty page, while others do not
- o The report server is functioning and Sungard thinks it's a data issue. Q: (Lynne) Do we tell the Clients that it's a data issue?

• Sun Studio 11 or 12 – Mrinal

- We are currently on Studio 12 and were told by the consultant that Studio 11 had issues
- o It was assumed by USA that UC4 needed Studio 12
- Scott wants something definitive by Sungard that we must go back to Studio 11 as it's not a trivial thing to do
- o Mrinal asked Kim if she can open a contact with Sungard asking them if they support Studio 12
- Q: (Kim) If we go to Studio 11, do we need to recompile and test everything all over again? A: (Mrinal) No, we will only need to recompile all C programs and test only those processes that get touched by these C programs
- O Q: (Kim) Thinking in the Clients' shoes, I wouldn't want to retest everything again. How would they know what needs to be tested again? A: (Mrinal) We will

need to look over their test plans and let them know which processes use C programs so that they may test again

- Payroll Files for HR Testing (PHPFEXP) Mrinal
 - o These are resolved as of now
 - PHPFEXP and NHPFIN2 were dependent on each other and that's why they both failed
 - o This had nothing to do with Paul applying the Student 8.2.1 upgrades at that time

• SRTLOAD – Jesus

- Received the newer version from Paul
- Still need to look into this

• RCRTP10 - Kim

- o Lynne compiled with the X02 option and has resolved the issues
- o Kim will ask Prema to retest the process again without the Job Capture feature
- O Q: (Scott) Is this being run in both Appworx and Job Submission? A: (Kim) Yes, Prema runs this via both

• Let Gen/Labels – Kim

- Issue has been resolved
- o Admissions has tested and all is running as it should

• Recompiling of Finance Forms – Alex

- Request sent by Gary to run 3 forms in this order: FURFEED, FGRTRNI, FGRACTG
- o Lynne can recompile again if need be
- Per Jesus, Kim will request that Gary test again first and then if we need to recompile we'll let Lynne know
- O Q: (Scott) Was TRNI something that was submitted via job submission? A: (Kim) Yes. Scott has concerns with the date format in Appworx vs. job submission
- o Q: (Scott) Is this a global occurrence? Can we back out the change?

• FMAX – Grace/Jack

- o Files were sent to HR to continue with their testing per Jack
- O Q: (Crystal) If HR decides to run another Payroll, will they need to request the time files again? A: (Mrinal) Yes.

• Student 8.2.1 – Paul

- o It has been documented that this upgrade breaks gurjobs/pipes
- O Q: (Alex) Will this issue come up again for go-live? A: (Paul) No, this issue should not come up again

• PSLTIME/E-HIRE/Workflow/E-Learning – Crystal

o PSLTIME – 95% complete, will send the test plan and sign-off soon

- Workflow/E-HIRE There were problems encountered with this in UBN8; requisitions don't go to Workflow
- E-Learning Switched to UBN8 instead of DBN8 as it didn't work; process in Banner side is needed; Matyas restarted it; requisitions were tested in Banner and went to EPAF ok

Appworx/ODS/Banner – Olga

- Need Month End and Payroll to test again
- o Some labels are not working and Ray is looking into this
- Cecil is working on ERB
- Test scores must work and should be tested as brought up by Liz. Olga says that Ray will be working on this and she will check with him

• Removal of DBAN/UBAN – Mrinal

- o We will need to ask the Clients if they are using UBAN
- o Want to get rid of it because we would like to build it as a Banner 8 instance
- Reason for the removal is that we can't fix the mounted arrangement as UBN8 was done this way temporarily
- O Q: (Jesus) Can we get Appworx pointed to UBAN if Paul recreates it as a Banner 8 version? A: (Mrinal) There's a big level of effort in testing in UBAN8
- o Per Bruce, we won't have a test instance of Appworx for Banner 7
- o Q: (Olga) What about ODS capability? Currently it is available in UBN8
- Q: (Pam) Do Clients need temporary access in UBN8 after the testing window is closed? A: (Mrinal) We still have to collect the Security Access spreadsheets. We can provide temporary access for the purpose of testing
- Q: (Pam) Liz, was it a big effort for you to give access to new forms? A: (Liz) No, a class for Kathy Montoya was created to do her testing and no one else has asked for that kind of security
- UBAN will be the instance to be removed
- Q: (Paul) We have too many instances in banner-d. We will be getting instance fatigue soon? A: (John) Please hold off on sending the e-mail to the Clients regarding the removal of a development instance until DBA group can discuss further

• Show Stoppers Hierarchy

- o Upgrade, Automation, and UTF8 issues
- Client Testing resulted in Show Stoppers
- o Security Access Giving access to the new forms, processes, etc.